

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/616,492	07/08/2003	Yao-Sheng Lee	074361.00015	9712
7590 06/06/2005			EXAMINER	
Harvey S. Kauget			TRAN, BINH X	
Holland & Knig	tht LLP			
Suite 4100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 N. Tampa Street			1765	
Tampa, FL 33	602-3644	•	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.
	10/616,492	LEE, YAO-SHENG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Binh X. Tran	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this commu-	nication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	<u>1ay 2005</u> .		
· <u> </u>	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	=x рапе Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 1	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) □ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
		(a) (a) a (f)	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	is have been received. is have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-12-2004. 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/616,492

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-14) in the reply filed on 5-2-2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 15-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 5-2-2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Furuta et al. (US 2002/0185466 A1).

Respect to claim 1, Furuta discloses a method for anisotropically dry etching a compound semiconductor heterostructure comprising the steps of:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,492

Art Unit: 1765

selectively masking a surface of the heterostructure (step 1209 in Fig 12; photoresist mask 916 in Fig 9);

exposing the masked heterostructure to a plasma comprising a mixture of hydrogen bromide (HBr) ad nitrogen (N_2) to anisotropically etch the unmasked portion of the heterostructure in a direction generally perpendicular to the major surface (Fig 10, 11, paragraph 0034).

Respect to claim 3, Furuta discloses the semiconductor heterostructure contain indium (e.g. indium tin oxide layer 912). Respect to claim 5, Furuta discloses the etching the structure using inductively coupled plasma (ICP) etching system (paragraph 0034).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanabe et al. (US 2003/0066817 A1) in view of Tsou (US 5,286,337).

Respect to claim 1, Tanabe discloses anisotropically dry etching method comprising the steps of:

selective masking a surface of the heterostructure (resist mask or SiO₂ mask, paragraph 0067, 0085);

Application/Control Number: 10/616,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 1765

exposing the masked heterostructure to a plasma comprising HBr (aka hydrogen bromide) and an inert gas to anisotropically etch the unmasked portion of the heterostructure in a direction generally perpendicular to the major surface (paragraph 0085).

Tanabe fails to disclose the plasma mixture comprises nitrogen. However,
Tanable clearly discloses the plasma mixture comprises inert gas. In a plasma etching
method, Tsou discloses inert gas is selected from the group consisting of argon, helium
and nitrogen. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the
time of invention, to modify Tanable in view of Tsou by using nitrogen as inert gas
because equivalent and substitution of one for the other would produce an expected
result.

Respect to claims 2 and 8, Tanable discloses maintaining the temperature of 250 °C (read on "above 160 °C", paragraph 0061, 0065, 0067). Respect to claims 3-4 and 9-10, Tanable discloses the semiconductor heterostructrure includes InP-base compound (paragraph 0065). Respect to claims 5, 11-12, Tanable discloses the step of performing the etching process with an inductively coupled plasma etching system (paragraph 0001, Fig 1, Fig 11). Respect to claims 6, 13, Tanable discloses the etching rate in the range of 1-5 μ m/min (Fig 6). Respect to claims 7 and 14, Tanable discloses the pressure is greater than 0 Pa and less than 4 Pa (fig 3D, 0-30 mTorr, within applicant's value).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/616,492 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Binh X. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BinhTran

Binh X. Tran